

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,829	11/26/2003	Jeffrey A. Matasek	A2-241 US 9366	
23683	7590 08/23/2005		EXAMINER	
MOLEX INCORPORATED			LE, THANH TAM T	
LISLE, IL 6	NGTON COURT 0532		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2839	
			DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005	i
				ī

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comment	10/722,829	MATASEK ET AL.	M			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thanh-Tam T. Le	2839				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of 18 NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of 19 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ju	<u>ıne 2005</u> .					
,						
,—	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	•				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National S	tage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/722,829 Page 2

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. A central housing formed from the unitary piece of metal for eliminating the need for joining multiple pieces of metal, the limitation: "for eliminating the need for joining multiple pieces of metal" is not described in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duran (6,688,780).

Regarding claims 1, 5, 9 and 16, Duran, figures 2b and 3b, discloses a fiber optic adaptor (220) comprising:

a central housing (220) formed from a unitary piece of metal (column 5, lines
 45-49). The central housing including a first and second latch portions (202

Art Unit: 2839

and 204, respectively) having first and second latch portion side walls, respectively, an internal wall (228) positioned between the first and second latch portions, a first and a second latch cavities (222 and 224, respectively), and an aperture (229) provided through the internal wall;

- a first latch (262) for mating with the first latch cavity which having a first fiber passageway and each side wall including a cantilevered latch arm (264)
 which mate with the first latch portion; and
- a second latch (262') including a second fiber passageway, each side wall including a cantilevered latch arm (264') that including an offset finger latch.

Duran discloses the instant claimed invention as described above except for the unitary piece of metal for eliminating the need for joining multiple pieces of metal.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Duran to have the unitary piece of metal for eliminating the need for joining multiple pieces of metal, since it has been held that forming in one piece an article which has formerly been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art. *Howard v. Detroit Stove Works*, 150 U.S. 164 (1893) for better connection.

Regarding claims 2 and 13, apertures (23) provided through each the side wall of the first and second latch portions, a tooth (266) and another tooth (266') extending outwardly from each the side wall of the first and second latch for engagement with the apertures.

Regarding claims 3 and 14, the first and second latch portions each including

Art Unit: 2839

two V-shaped rails.

Regarding claims 4 and 15, the first and second latch portions each including a U-shaped guiding structure.

Regarding claims 6 and 10, a flange (266) extending outwardly from the central housing.

Regarding claims 7 and 17, the aperture through the internal wall is generally rectangular shaped.

Regarding claims 8 and 11, the internal wall is aligned and angled relative with the flange.

Regarding claim 12, the first and second fiber passageways are angled relative to the flange.

Regarding claim 18, the features in the method claim are identical to those in the apparatus claim. Therefore, the method alone is not a patentable feature.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 9 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,829 Page 5

Art Unit: 2839

Conclusion

- 5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 6. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh-Tam T. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-2094. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00.
- 8. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, TC Patel can be reached on 571-272-2098. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,829

Art Unit: 2839

9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thanh-Tam T. Le Primary Examiner Art Unit 2839 Page 6

TL. 08/18/05.